

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
8 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

9 JUSTIN M. CAHILL,

10 Plaintiff,

11 vs.

12 LARRY A. KENT,

13 Defendant.
14

)
) 2:15-cv-00468-RFB-CWH
)
)

ORDER

15 This matter is before the Court on the parties' Joint Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order
16 (doc. # 10), filed April 15, 2015.

17 The Court has reviewed the proposed discovery plan and finds that it does not comply with
18 Local Rule ("LR") 26-1. Absent a court order, "discovery periods longer than one hundred eighty
19 (180) days from the date the first defendant answers or appears will require special scheduling
20 review." LR 26-1(e)(1). Additionally, parties that request a discovery period that is longer or
21 different must provide "a statement of the reasons why longer or different time periods should apply
22 to the case." LR 26-1(d). Here, the parties request a special scheduling order with competing dates,
23 but do not provide any reasons why an extended discovery period is necessary or why the parties
24 cannot agree to the same discovery dates.

25 Accordingly, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the parties' Joint Discovery Plan and
26 Scheduling Order (doc. # 10) is **denied**.

27 DATED: April 16, 2015
28



C.W. Hoffman, Jr.
United States Magistrate Judge